

# Overview of the Grantees November 2008







#### **Lead Agency Type**

State or Local Health Department	4 *
Hospital or Medical Center	4
Human Services Nonprofit	3
State or Local Human Services Department	2
Child Abuse Prevention Nonprofit	2
State or Local Child Welfare Agency	1
State or Local Judicial Agency	1
Child Advocacy Nonprofit	1

<sup>\*</sup> One combined health and human services





#### **Key Partners**

CBCAP Lead Agency	13
Home Visit Program National Office	11
Child Abuse Prevention Nonprofit	10
State or Local Health Department	9
University	9
State or Local Human Services Department	8
Early Childhood Nonprofit or Commission	7
State or Local Child Welfare Agency	6
Human Services Nonprofit	4
Hospital or Medical Center	3





### One Site Each Included as Key Partners:

- State or local education agency
- Domestic violence nonprofit organization
- Foundation
- Faith-based organization







#### **Geographic Scope**

State	3
Local City or County	6
Multiple Counties or Broad Region within the State	7
To Be Decided	1



# Five Sites Are Combining NFP with Other Home Visiting Models

Nurse Family Partnership + Healthy Families America + Parents as Teachers	2
Nurse Family Partnership + Family Connections	1
Nurse Family Partnership + Parents as Teachers	1
Nurse Family Partnership + Healthy Families America	1





# Twelve Sites Are Using a Single Home Visiting Model

Nurse Family Partnership	7
Healthy Families America	2
Safe Care	2
Positive Parenting Program	1



#### **Home Visiting Target Populations**

All High-Risk Families	6
First-Time Mothers	6
Young Parents	3
Rural Families	2
Latinos	2
Native Americans	2
African-Americans	1
Immigrants/Refugees	1





### Home Visiting Program Evaluation Methods

Randomized Controlled Trial	7
Outcomes (usually comparison to national)	4
Pre-Post	3
Quasi-Experimental	1
Interrupted Time Series	1
None of the Above	1





# Other Required Evaluations Were Addressed by Most Grantees

Process or Implementation evaluation: 15

Cost evaluation: 11







#### Planned Enrollment/Sample Sizes

200-299	5
300-399	2
400-499	1
500 or more	4
Not specified	5





# Grantees Plan Various Evaluator Arrangements

External Evaluator	13
Internal Evaluator	2
Mixed External and Internal	1
Undecided	1







# This Variation is Fun and Challenging!

- Multiple programs and evaluation approaches provide learning opportunities
- They also present challenges for the crosssite evaluation:
  - Identifying stakeholder evaluation priorities ("yours, mine, and ours")
  - Seeking give-and-take on local and crosssite designs
  - Agreeing on a set of common measures



